

Rushcliffe Borough Council – Scrutiny Matrix

Councillor Request for Scrutiny	
Councillor T Combellack	
Proposed topic of scrutiny ...	Connectivity and communications
I would like to understand ... (key lines of enquiry)	<p>In this day and age our residents should have 100% mobile and broadband coverage and I think we need to ensure those working on their behalf are delivering. We still do not have 100% connectivity and as more and more use is made of the service with apps, zoom and streaming, and film download what was considered good speeds are slowly being degraded.</p> <p>Members would like to understand what NCC are doing to support digital connectivity across Rushcliffe, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Full fibre broadband and the latest upgrades • BDUK roll out – where are the gaps in Rushcliffe and what can we do about them • An update on Gigahubs • An update on project Gigabit • Broadband voucher scheme • Land line disconnection scheduled by 2025 leaves many reliant on VOIP which needs a good Broadband connection. This is a health and safety issue. • Mobile mast connectivity and opportunities for improvement. There are companies already looking for mast sites – could we be engaging with these companies to ensure our residents are well served.
I think this topic should be scrutinised because ... (please tick)	* Poor Performance Identified
	* Change in Legislation or Local Policy
	* Resident Concern or Interest
	Cabinet Recommendation
	* Links to the Corporate Strategy

		Other (please state reason)
Officer Consideration of Councillor Request for Scrutiny		
Officer Feedback (please tick)		
- Issue already being addressed		Issue of a complaint investigation
- Issue has already been considered in the last 2 years?		Issue is a staffing matter
- Issue is a legal matter		There is an alternative way of dealing with the issue
Is there sufficient capacity ...		
- Scrutiny Work Programme?		
- Officer Resources?		
Recommendation		
Consideration of Request for Scrutiny at COG		
Public Involvement / engagement?		
Expert witnesses?		
Portfolio holder?		
Lead Officer?		
Proposed Timescale for Scrutiny and Scrutiny Group		